

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 01 2008



## FACSIMILE

PLEASE CALL US AT (703) 413-3000 IF THE MESSAGE YOU RECEIVE IS INCOMPLETE OR NOT LEGIBLE

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314  
USA(703) 413-3000  
(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE

OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM

PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW  
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION

WWW.OBLON.COM

TO	Examiner Hung H. Lam	December 1, 2008
NAME	U.S. PTO	DATE
COMPANY/FIRM		FAX #
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER: 2		CONFIRM FAX: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
FROM	James J. Kulbaski Registration No. 34,648	242401US2
NAME	703-412-6490	OUR REFERENCE
DIRECT PHONE #		10/658,549
MESSAGE		

Unless otherwise indicated or obvious from the nature of the transmittal, the information contained in this facsimile message is attorney privileged and confidential information intended for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error or are not sure whether it is privileged, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original message to us at the above address via the U.S. Postal Service at our Expense. Thank You.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

DEC 01 2008



## MEMORANDUM

ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
1940 DUKE STREET  
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314  
USA  
(703) 413-3000  
(703) 413-2220 FACSIMILE  
OBLONPAT@OBLON.COM  
PATENT, TRADEMARK AND COPYRIGHT LAW  
AND RELATED FEDERAL AND ITC LITIGATION  
WWW.OBLON.COM

---

TO: Examiner Hung H. Lam  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

FROM: James J. Kulbaski  
Registration No. 34,648

DATE: December 1, 2008

RE: Agenda for the Interview for U.S. Application Serial No.  
10/658,549 - Attorney Docket #242401US2

---

The current form of the claims is patentable over the prior art which has been used to reject the claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) (Werner (US 2003/0202104)).

First, independent claim 1 recites in the last paragraph "broadcast request data over said local area network." This concept of broadcasting is not disclosed or suggested by Werner.

Second, independent claim 1 clearly recites "said control device detects an IP address of the piece of equipment from which said response data is sent." This feature is not suggested or disclosed by the prior art. The Advisory Action states that the claim language does not preclude the location based service provider from receiving the location information and sending back information relative to a physical and communications address of one or more photo processing establishments. However, this concept does not meet the claim language and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 should be withdrawn.

I look forward to seeing you at the interview at 1:30 pm on Tuesday, December 2, 2008.

James J. Kulbaski  
Registration No. 34,648